

Quantum Computation for Physical Modeling

Jeffrey Yezpe

Air Force Research Laboratory

29 Randolph Road, Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts 01731

<http://qubit.plh.af.mil>

FORWARD

One of the most famous American physicists of the twentieth century, Richard Feynman, in 1982 was the first to propose using a quantum mechanical computing device to efficiently simulate quantum mechanical many-body dynamics [1, 2, 3], a task that is exponentially complex in the number of particles treated and is completely intractable by any classical computing means for large systems of many particles. In the two decades following his work, remarkable progress has been made both theoretically and experimentally in the new field of quantum computation [4, 5]. Ironically, however, most of the theoretical progress in quantum computing has developed within the purview of the computer scientist with the principle applications of efficient quantum information processing related to cryptography and secure quantum communication¹. In an effort return to Feynman's original direction, the Air Force Research Laboratory and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research has established a multidisciplinary basic research theme called *Quantum Computation for Physical Modeling* to explore quantum algorithms to model dynamical physical systems. Our goal is to establish a practical and generic means by which the power of quantum mechanics (that is, quantum parallelism due to the superposition and entanglement of states) can be used to speedup numerical simulations of interest to computational physicists.

Notwithstanding the veritable stampede towards computer science related applications by most researchers in the field of quantum computing, a few maverick physicists have developed some quantum algorithms to model quantum mechanical systems. A starting point for this development was a problem

¹References to specific publications in these subjects are so ubiquitous in the quantum computing literature that we do not include any here. Comprehensive collections of quantum computing papers have been recently published [4, 5].

posed by Feynman himself to show that the one dimensional Dirac equation could be modeled by a single-speed particle traveling in a two-dimensional space-time as a sum over zigzag paths of straight line elements [6], with the amplitude of a particular path contributing to the kernel by the number of "collisions" or corners along that zigzag path. This *quantum lattice gas* representation of quantum mechanics is equivalent to the well known path integral representation.² A quantum lattice gas accounts for all contributing paths by simultaneously evolving many particles in a unitary fashion. Therefore, instead of summing (or integrating over) paths as individual entities, all contributing paths are effectively simulated in one fell swoop as a combined field quantity. In the end, the collisional interaction between particles in the quantum lattice representation can be described by an effective field theory (the Dirac equation in this particular case) at the large-scale called the *continuum limit*.

Beginning in the mid 1990's, a contemporaneous series of quantum lattice-gas algorithms to model the relativistic Dirac equation, equivalent to Feynman's original algorithm, were published by Succi [8, 9], Bialynicki-Birula [10], and Meyer [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Furthermore, a series of papers on modeling the non-relativistic Schrodinger equation were published by Boghosian and Taylor [16, 17, 18] and by Yezpe and Boghosian [19], the latter article appearing in this issue. Our present goal in the Quantum Computation for Physical Modeling project is accelerate this algorithmic developmental effort that has occurred over the past decade.

In fact, we hope to go further in the application of this quantum algorithmic method. We have developed new efficient quantum lattice-gas algorithms to model classical dynamical systems [20, 21, 22, 23]. Meyer also addresses this subject in his article on phys-

²A solution to Feynman's "quantum lattice gas" problem was published in 1984 by Jacobson and Schulman [7].

ical quantum algorithms contained in this issue [24]. In the past, we have considered quantum algorithms suited to globally phase-coherent quantum computers [25], however our focus is presently on those quantum algorithms suited to implementation on locally phase-coherent quantum computers that are technologically much simpler to experimentally implement [26]. This program to use one quantum mechanical system to model another quantum mechanical system or classical system can perhaps best be described as efficient analog computing, which in this context may be termed *analog quantum computing*.

The principle technological obstacle to globally phase-coherent quantum computation is the problem of the uncontrolled decoherence of the quantum computer's wave function. The quantum computing community at large, following the traditional computer scientist's mindset for correcting bit-flip errors using redundancy, has been investing much theoretical work in attempts to develop generalized methods for quantum error correction of bit-flip and phase-change errors [27, 28, 29]. As an expedient alternative to this cumbersome approach, as demonstrated by the advent of several nuclear magnetic resonance quantum computing experiments [30, 31, 32, 33], it is possible to avoid bit-flip and phase-change errors altogether: *Keep the individual quantum computing elements small enough so that all computation occurs within a single spin-spin decoherence time where bit-flip and phase-change errors are irrelevant.*

Given this possibility of avoiding errors, it is natural to consider building a large-scale quantum computing system by connecting many small ones together in an array interconnected by nearest-neighbor classical communication channels. We call this type of quantum mechanical device a *type-II quantum computer* [26]. This hybrid architecture, combining classical massively parallelism and quantum parallelism, is suited to modeling dynamical physical systems, such as turbulent Navier-Stokes fluids [21, 25, 22, 23]. In collaboration with the Nuclear Engineering Department of MIT, we have developed a prototype type-II quantum computer based on spatial nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. We use a gradient magnetic field to distinguish individual layers in a liquid sample so that each layer effectively becomes an individual quantum computing node comprising an ensemble of molecules. The first simulation of a quantum lattice-gas model for the one-dimensional diffusion equation [22] has been carried out on this quantum computer prototype using the atomic spin-state of Carbon-13 and Hydrogen nuclei within a linear array of chloro-

form molecules [34]. This milestone represents the first physical simulation to date on a quantum computer and is contained in this issue. A subsequent paper presenting an improved version of our type-II quantum computer prototype, that corrects for various implementation errors and uses better quantum control, is also in preparation [35].

Our new quantum computing research theme is supported by several directorates of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research with about two dozen university research projects across the country to date. The design and construction of several new type-II quantum computer prototypes are now underway using various technologies including superconducting electronics and quantum optics for example.

We have established a new annual workshop series dedicated to this subject of quantum computation for physical modeling (see our web site at <http://qubit.plh.af.mil> for more details). The first workshop in this series was held in the fall of 2000 in North Falmouth in Cape Cod, Massachusetts and the following collections of articles contained in this issue were contributed from this workshop. Our goal for this workshop series is to annually publish a collection of such contributed articles, to review our progress, and to provide an open forum for new collaborators to join us in this activity.

References

- [1] Richard P. Feynman. Simulating physics with computers. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 21(6/7):467-488, 1982.
- [2] Richard P. Feynman. Quantum mechanical computers. *Optics News*, 11(2):11-20, 1985.
- [3] Anthony J.G. Hey and Robin W. Allen, editors. *Feynman Lectures on Computation*. The Advanced Book Program. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1996.
- [4] C. Macchiavello, G.M. Palma, and Z. Zeilinger. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information Theory*. World Scientific, 2000.
- [5] Hoi-Kwong Lo, Sandu Popescu, and Tim Spiller. *Introduction to Quantum Computation and Information*. World Scientific, 1998.
- [6] Richard P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*. McGraw-Hill, 1965. Problem 2-6 on page 34.

- [7] Theodore Jacobson and L.S. Schulman. Quantum stochasticity: the passage from a relativistic to a non-relativistic path integral. *Journal of Physics A: Math. Gen.*, 17:375–383, 1984.
- [8] Sauro Succi and R. Benzi. Lattice boltzmann equation for quantum mechanics. *Physica D*, 69:327–332, 1993.
- [9] Sauro Succi. Numerical solution of the schrodinger equation using discrete kinetic theory. *Physical Review E*, 53(2):1969–1975, 1996.
- [10] Iwo Bialynicki-Birula. Weyl, dirac, and maxwell equations on a lattice as unitary cellular automata. *Physical Review D*, 49(12):6920–6927, 1994.
- [11] David A. Meyer. From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gas. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 85(5,6):551–574, 1996.
- [12] David A. Meyer. On the absense of homogeneous scalar unitary cellular automata. *Physics Letters A*, 223:337–340, 1996.
- [13] David A. Meyer. Quantum mechanics of lattice gas automata: One-particle plane waves and potentials. *Physical Review E*, 55(5):5261–5269, 1997.
- [14] David A. Meyer. Quantum lattice gases and their invariants. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 8:717–735, 1997.
- [15] David A. Meyer. Quantum mechanics of lattice gas automata: boundary conditions and other inhomogeneities. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 31:2321–2340, 1998.
- [16] Bruce M. Boghosian and Washington Taylor IV. Quantum lattice gas models for the many-body schrodinger equation. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 8:705–716, 1997.
- [17] Bruce M. Boghosian and Washington Taylor IV. Simulating quantum mechanics on a quantum computer. *Physica D*, 120:30–42, 1998.
- [18] Bruce M. Boghosian and Washington Taylor IV. A quantum lattice-gas model for the many-particle schrodinger equation in d dimensions. *Physical Review E*, 57:54–66, 1998.
- [19] Jeffrey Yepez and Bruce Boghosian. An efficient and accurate quantum lattice-gas model for the many-body schrodinger wave equation. *Computer Physics Communications*, 146(3):280–294, 2002.
- [20] Jeffrey Yepez. Lattice-gas quantum computation. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 9(8):1587–1596, 1998. Proceeding of the 7th International Conference on the Discrete Simulation of Fluids, University of Oxford.
- [21] Jeffrey Yepez. Quantum computation of fluid dynamics. In Collin P. Williams, editor, *Quantum Computing and Quantum Communications*, page 480pp. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 1999. First NASA International Conference, QCQC'98, Palm Springs, California, USA, February 17-20, 1998, Selected Papers.
- [22] Jeffrey Yepez. Quantum lattice-gas model for the diffusion equation. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 12(9):1285–1303, 2001. Presented at the 9th International Conference on Discrete Simulation of Fluid Dynamics, Santa Fe, NM, August 22, 2000.
- [23] Jeffrey Yepez. Quantum lattice-gas model for the burgers equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, To appear 2001. Presented at the 9th International Conference on Discrete Simulation of Fluid Dynamics, Santa Fe, NM, August 22, 2000.
- [24] David A. Meyer. Physical quantum algorithms. *Computer Physics Communications*, pages 1–11, 2001. quant-ph/011069.
- [25] Jeffrey Yepez. A quantum lattice-gas model for computational fluid dynamics. *Physical Review E*, (046702):046702–1 to 046702–18, 2001. APS E-Print: aps1999Oct22.002.
- [26] Jeffrey Yepez. Type-ii quantum computers. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 12(9):1273–1284, 2001. Presented at the Quantum Computing Colloquium, MIT Nuclear Engineering Department, September 27, 1999 and at the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Computational and Applied Mathematics Meeting 2000, Stanford University, June 28, 2000.
- [27] A.R. Calderbank and Peter W. Shor. Good quantum error correcting codes exist. *LANL archive: quant-ph/9512032*, 1995.
- [28] David G. Cory, M. Price, W. Mass, E. Knill, Raymond Laflamme, Wojciech H. Zurek, Timothy F. Havel, and S.S. Somaroo. Experimental quantum error correction. *Physical Review Letters*, 81(10):2152–2155, 1998. quant-ph/9802018.

- [29] Emanuel Knill, Raymond Laflamme, and Lorenza Viola. Theory of quantum error correction for general noise. *Los Alamos National Laboratory Archive*, page 6 pages, 1999. quant-ph/9908066.
- [30] David G. Cory, Amr F. Fahmy, and Timothy F. Havel. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: an experimentally accessible paradigm for quantum computing. In Tommaso Toffoli, Michael Bifare, and J. Leao, editors, *PhysComp 96*, pages 87–91. Proceedings on the Fourth Workshop on Physics and Computation, New England Complex Systems Institute, 1996.
- [31] J.A. Jones and M. Mosca. Implementation of a quantum algorithm on a nuclear magnetic resonance quantum computer. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 109(5):1648–1653, 1998.
- [32] Isaac L. Chuang, Neil Gershenfeld, and Mark Kubinec. Experimental implementation of fast quantum searching. *Physical Review Letters*, 80(15):3408–3411, 1998.
- [33] Noah Linden, Harvé Barjat, and Ray Freeman. An implementation of the deutsch-jozsa algorithm on a three-qubit nmr quantum computer. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 296:61–67, 1998.
- [34] Marco Pravia, Zhiying Chen, Jeffrey Yezpez, and David G. Cory. Towards a nmr implementation of a quantum lattice gas algorithm. *Computer Physics Communications*, 146(3):339–344, 2002.
- [35] Marco Pravia, Zhiying Chen, Jeffrey Yezpez, and David G. Cory. Experimental demonstration of parallel quantum computation. *Physical Review E*, pages 1–11, Submitted 2002.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 17-8-2005		REPRINT			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Quantum Computation for Physical Modeling				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 61102F	
6. AUTHOR(S) Jeffrey Yepez				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 2304	
				5e. TASK NUMBER 0T	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER B1	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory/VSBYA 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB MA 01731-3010				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-VS-HA-TR-2005-1090	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES REPRINTED FROM: COMPUTER PHYSICS COMMUNICATIONS, Vol 146, Issue 3, 2002.					
14. ABSTRACT One of the most famous American physicists of the twentieth century, Richard Feynman, in 1982 was the first to propose using a quantum mechanical computing device to efficiently simulate quantum mechanical many-body dynamics, a task that is exponentially complex in the number of particles treated and is completely intractable by any classical computing means for large systems of many particles.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Quantum lattice gas Quantum mechanics Physical modeling					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jeffrey Yepez
a. REPORT UNCLAS	b. THIS PAGE UNCLAS	c. THIS PAGE UNCLAS	SAR		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 781-377-5957